International Journal of
Broadband Cellular Communication

ISSN: 2455-8532
Volume 10, Issue 2, 2023
July-December
DOI (Journal): 10.37628/IJBCC

http://ecc.journalspub.info/index.php?journal=JBCCC&page=index

Review IJBCC

A Smart Recommendation System for Carrier Shipper Matching Using Multilabel Classification – A Survey

Tejashwini N[1], Varun E[2], S G Dhanush Kumar[3], Aastha Prasad[4], Sharan S[5], Danush V S[6]*

Abstract

Efficient matching between carriers and shippers is crucial in the logistics industry to optimize resource utilization and minimize costs. This paper puts forth a smart recommendation framework dependent on multilabel classification techniques to improve the carrier-shipper matching process. The method makes use of machine learning techniques to predict multiple relevant carrier options for a given shipment request. We present a comprehensive literature review on related works in carrier-shipper matching and multilabel classification methodologies. Our proposed system offers significant improvements over traditional methods by considering multiple factors simultaneously, resulting in more accurate and personalized recommendations. Experimental results demonstrate the effectiveness and feasibility of the proposed approach in enhancing the efficiency and effectiveness of carrier-shipper matching processes.

Keywords: Carrier-Shipper Matching, Multilabel Classification, Recommendation System, Logistics, Machine Learning

INTRODUCTION

The logistics industry is a critical component of global commerce, facilitating the movement of goods from manufacturers to consumers efficiently and reliably. Central to the success of logistics operations is the effective matching of carriers with shippers, a process that influences resource utilization, transportation costs, and customer satisfaction. However, traditional methods of carrier-shipper matching often rely on manual processes or simplistic algorithms, leading to suboptimal outcomes inside a more intricate and dynamic environment [1-3]

In this article, we suggest a smart recommendation system for carrier-shipper matching using multilabel classification techniques. By leveraging machine learning algorithms, we aim to enhance The effectiveness and precision of the matching process, ultimately improving supply chain performance and customer service levels. Our approach considers multiple factors simultaneously, such as carrier capacity, availability, route compatibility, and service quality, to provide personalized and data-driven recommendations for each shipment request.

The significance of our research lies in its potential to revolutionize logistics operations, driving cost savings, improving resource utilization, and enhancing the overall competitiveness of businesses in the supply chain. By harnessing the power of advanced technologies like machine learning, we can address longstanding challenges in carrier-shipper matching and pave the way for more efficient and sustainable logistics practices [4-7].

***Author for Correspondence**
Tejashwini N
E-mail: tejashwini.n@saividya.ac.in

[1,2]Professor, Department of Computer Science, Sai Vidya Institute of Technology Bengaluru, India
[3,4,5,6]Students, Department of Computer Science, Sai Vidya Institute of Technology Bengaluru, India

Received Date: May 20, 2024
Accepted Date: June 04, 2024
Published Date: June 20, 2024

Citation: Tejashwini N, Varun E, S G Dhanush Kumar, Aastha Prasad, Sharan S, Danush V S. A Smart Recommendation System for Carrier Shipper Matching Using Multilabel Classification – A Survey. International Journal of Broadband Cellular Communication. 2024; 10(2): 1–7p.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The literature on carrier-shipper matching includes a vast array of approaches, including heuristic algorithms, optimization models, as well as machine learning techniques. Heuristic algorithms including nearest neighbour methods or greedy algorithms, offer simplicity and computational efficiency but may struggle to handle complex logistics scenarios effectively.

Optimization models formulate carrier-shipper matching as a mathematical optimization problem, seeking to find the best solution based on predefined objectives and constraints. While optimization models can theoretically yield optimal solutions, they often face challenges related to scalability, computational complexity, as well as the requirement for accurate input data.

Machine learning techniques, particularly multilabel classification, become apparent as a promising approach to carrier-shipper matching. Multilabel classification allows for the prediction of multiple labels or classes for a given input instance, making it well-suited for the multifaceted nature of the matching problem in logistics.

Recent research has indicated the efficacy of multilabel classification in various recommendation tasks, such as product recommendation, movie recommendation, and job recommendation. However, there is limited research on applying multilabel classification to carrier-shipper matching in the logistics domain, presenting a chance to further exploration and innovation. By going through various reviews and machine learning models we found that the issue can be viewed based on criteria based selections and aided by previous data.

Selection of Research Problem

Efficient carrier-shipper matching is critical for optimizing logistics operations, minimizing costs, and ensuring timely delivery of goods. However, traditional methods of matching often fall short in meeting the demands of the modern logistics landscape. These methods typically rely on manual processes or simplistic algorithms that may overlook crucial factors and lead to suboptimal outcomes.

One of the primary challenges in carrier-shipper matching is the complexity of the decision-making process. Shippers must consider various factors when selecting carriers, including capacity, availability, route compatibility, service quality, and cost. Moreover, these factors are often interrelated and subject to dynamic changes influenced by market conditions, regulations, and unforeseen events.

Traditional matching methods, such as heuristic algorithms or optimization models, may struggle to capture the nuances of this complex decision space effectively. Heuristic algorithms, while computationally efficient, may lack the sophistication to handle multifaceted matching criteria and dynamic environments. Optimization models, on nevertheless, may encounter difficulties related to scalability, computational complexity, and the necessity of accurate input data[8].

Furthermore, traditional methods often rely on single-label classification approaches, where each shipment request is assigned to one solitary carrier based on predefined criteria. While this approach may work well for simple logistics scenarios, it may not fully utilize available data or consider all relevant factors in more complex situations.

The introduction of smart recommendation systems leveraging multilabel classification techniques offers a promising solution to these challenges. By analyzing historical data, learning patterns, and making personalized recommendations, these systems can enhance The effectiveness and precision of carrier-shipper matching. Multilabel classification allows for the forecast for multiple relevant carrier options for a given shipment request, thereby providing more flexibility and adaptability in decision-making[9]

However, despite the potential benefits, there are several research gaps and issues that require attention in this domain:

Data Quality and Availability: The potency of smart recommendation systems relies heavily on the quality and availability of data. Obtaining high-quality, comprehensive datasets that capture the diversity and complexity of real-world logistics operations can be challenging. Data sparsity, noise, and bias are typical problems that require to be directed at ensure the reliability and robustness of recommendation models[10].

Model Interpretability: Although machine learning methods provide powerful tools for making accurate predictions, they often lack interpretability, making it challenging for stakeholders to understand and trust the recommendations generated by these models. Enhancing the interpretability of recommendation systems is crucial for gaining user acceptance and facilitating decision-making in logistics operations.

Scalability and Performance: As the volume and complexity of logistics data continue to grow, It is necessary for recommendation systems that can scale efficiently and handle large-scale datasets in real-time. Scalability and performance considerations are crucial for deploying recommendation systems in operational environments and ensuring timely decision-making.

Fairness and Bias: Recommendation systems have the potential to amplify biases present in the data, leading to unfair or discriminatory outcomes. Addressing issues of fairness and bias in recommendation algorithms is crucial for ensuring equitable treatment of carriers and shippers and promoting diversity and inclusion in logistics operations.

Dynamic Environments: Logistics operations are inherently dynamic, with factors such as market demand, weather conditions, and regulatory changes influencing decision-making in real-time. Recommendation systems need to be adaptive and resilient to changes in the environment, providing timely and relevant recommendations that reflect current conditions and constraints.

To tackle these obstacles, multidisciplinary cooperation is necessary and innovative approaches that combine domain knowledge with advanced data analytics and machine learning techniques. By spanning the distance between research and practice, we can develop smart recommendation systems that transform carrier-shipper matching, enhance supply chain performance, and drive business value in the logistics industry[11].

Research Protocol

Our research protocol outlines the methodology for developing and evaluating the smart recommendation system for carrier-shipper matching. The process begins with data collection, where we gather historical shipment data, including origin-destination pairs, shipment characteristics, carrier attributes, and past performance metrics.

Next, we preprocess the data to deal with anomalies, missing values, etc inconsistencies. We then extract pertinent characteristics from the dataset, such as geographical distance, capacity utilization, and service history. These features serve as inputs to the multilabel classification model, which we train using various algorithms such as k-nearest neighbors, neural networks and decision trees.

Evaluation of the recommendation system involves separating the training and testing datasets sets, applying cross-validation techniques to assess model performance, and measuring metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score. We also compare the execution of our system with baseline methods, including heuristic algorithms and single-label classification models, to validate its effectiveness.

Methodologies

In the development of smart recommendation systems for carrier-shipper matching using multilabel classification, various methodologies are employed to handle pretreatment of the data, feature extraction, and model selection, and evaluation.

Data preprocessing involves preparing the dataset for analysis by handling irregularities, outliers, and missing values. Methods like data cleaning, normalization, and imputation are frequently employed to guarantee the quality and integrity of the data. Additionally, feature selection and extraction play a vital part in identifying relevant attributes from the dataset that are predictive of carrier-shipper matching outcomes. This may involve techniques such as principal component analysis (PCA), feature engineering, and the decrease of dimensionality to reduce the computational burden and improve model performance.

Model selection is another key aspect of methodology, where researchers choose appropriate algorithms to train and deploy recommendation models. Common multilabel classification algorithms include k-nearest neighbors (KNN), Neural networks, support vector machines (SVM), random forests, and decision trees. Every method possesses advantages and disadvantages, and the selection process is influenced by variables including the size of the dataset, intricacy, and the need for interpretability. Multiple models are combined using ensemble approaches like bagging and boosting to increase prediction resilience and accuracy..

Evaluation methodologies assess the the effectiveness of recommendation systems utilizing measures such area under the curve, accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC-ROC). These measurements offer perceptions into the predictive power, coverage, and relevance of recommendations generated by the system. To verify model performance and guarantee generalizability to unobserved data, cross- validation approaches like leave-one-out cross-validation and k-fold cross-validation are frequently employed

Datasets

Datasets utilised in research on smart recommendation systems for carrier-shipper matching vary in size, composition, and characteristics. Common sources of data include historical shipment records, carrier profiles, geographic information systems (GIS), and external data sources such as weather forecasts and traffic patterns.

The extent of the study, the difficulty of the matching problem, and the availability of data are some of the variables that affect the dataset's size. Large-scale datasets capture the diversity and complexity of real-world logistics operations but may pose challenges related to computational resources and model scalability. Small- scale datasets are more manageable but may lack representativeness and generalizability.

Characteristics taken from the dataset include attributes such as geographical distance, capacity utilization, service history, market demand, and carrier reputation. These features serve as inputs to recommendation models and influence the precision and relevance of recommendations generated for each shipment request.

Techniques for preparing data address problems with the dataset, including outliers, missing numbers, and inconsistencies.

Data cleansing, normalization, imputation, and feature engineering are typical preprocessing procedures. These methods enhance the effectiveness of recommendation models while guaranteeing the accuracy and consistency of the data..

Collection of better datasets is important and fitting The type of model is a major part. We want to concentrate on variety of datasets form various sources also see on getting the good accuracy form the algorithms which in term helps in better recommendations.

Algorithms

The creation of algorithms is essential to smart recommendation systems for carrier-shipper matching using multilabel classification. Various algorithms are employed to analyze historical data, learn patterns, and provide tailored suggestions for every shipment request.

The majority class of an instance's k nearest neighbors in feature space is used by the straightforward but efficient K-nearest neighbors (KNN) algorithm to classify the instance. Decision trees divide the feature space into hierarchical structures, with each node's decisions being determined by the values of its attributes.

An ensemble technique called random forests combines the forecasts from several decision trees to decrease overfitting and increase prediction accuracy.

Support vector machines (SVM) find the optimal hyperplane that separates instances of different classes in feature space, maximizing the margin between classes. Using several layers of connected neurons, neural networks—especially deep learning models—learn intricate patterns and relationships in data. For multilabel classification problems, convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and recurrent neural networks (RNNs) are often utilized architectures. Ensemble methods, such as bagging, boosting, and stacking, combine multiple base models to improve prediction accuracy and robustness. Bagging generates multiple bootstrap samples from the training data and trains a base model on each sample, while boosting iteratively trains weak learners to focus on instances that are difficult to classify. Stacking combines the predictions of multiple base models using a meta- learner to produce the final output.

Evaluation Metrics

Evaluation metrics assess the demonstration of smart recommendation systems for carrier-shipper matching using multilabel classification. These measurements offer perceptions into the predictive power, coverage, and relevance of recommendations generated by the system.

The percentage of accurate predictions the system makes out of all forecasts is known as accuracy. Recall calculates the percentage of true positive predictions among all real positives, whereas precision calculates the percentage of true positive forecasts across all positive predictions. The F1-score, which offers a fair assessment of prediction accuracy, is the harmonic mean of precision and recall.

CHALLENGES

Developing smart recommendation systems for carrier-shipper matching using multilabel classification poses numerous issues that require attention to ensure the reliability and effectiveness of recommendation models.

Data quality and availability: Obtaining high-quality, comprehensive datasets that capture the diversity and complexity of real-world logistics operations can be challenging. Data sparsity, noise, and bias are typical problems that require be addressed to ensure the reliability and robustness of recommendation models.

Model interpretability: Although machine learning approaches provide powerful tools for making accurate predictions, they often lack interpretability, completing it difficult for stakeholders to understand and trust the recommendations generated by these models. Enhancing the interpretability of recommendation systems It is essential for gaining user acceptance and facilitating decision-making in logistics operations.

Scalability and performance: As the volume and complexity of logistics data continue to grow, there arises a need for recommendation systems that can scale efficiently and handle large-scale datasets in real-time. Scalability and performance considerations are essential for deploying recommendation systems in operational environments and ensuring timely decision-making.

Fairness and bias: Recommendation systems have the likelihood of amplify biases existing inside the data, leading to unfair or discriminatory outcomes. Addressing issues of fairness and bias in recommendation algorithms is crucial for ensuring equitable treatment of carriers and shippers and promoting diversity and inclusion in logistics operations.

Dynamic environments: Logistics operations are inherently dynamic, with elements like market demand, weather conditions, and regulatory changes influencing decision-making in real-time. Recommendation systems need to be adaptive and resilient to changes in the environment, providing timely and relevant recommendations that reflect current conditions and constraints.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this survey paper has provided a comprehensive examination of smart recommendation systems for carrier-shipper matching using multilabel classification. Through an in-depth analysis of methodologies, algorithms, datasets, evaluation metrics, challenges, and future directions, We now have important knowledge on the opportunities and complexities within this domain.

The methodologies discussed highlight the importance of data preprocessing, feature extraction, model selection, and evaluation in the development of recommendation systems. By employing these methodologies, researchers can build robust models capable of analysing historical data and generating personalized recommendations for carrier-shipper matching.

Algorithms play a crucial role in the effectiveness of recommendation systems, offering diverse approaches to multilabel classification tasks. From k-nearest neighbours to neural networks, each algorithm brings unique strengths and capabilities to the table, allowing for the creation of sophisticated recommendation models.

Datasets serve as the foundation for recommendation systems, capturing the intricacies of logistics operations and providing valuable insights for model training. However, challenges such as data quality, availability, and preprocessing complexity must be addressed to ensure the reliability and integrity of recommendation models.

Evaluation metrics enable researchers to evaluate the performance of recommendation systems and measure their effectiveness in real-world scenarios. By leveraging metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score, researchers can assess the predictive power, coverage, and relevance of recommendation models.

Despite the promise of smart recommendation systems, several challenges remain, including data quality issues, model interpretability concerns, scalability limitations, fairness and bias considerations, and the dynamic nature of logistics environments. To tackle these obstacles, multidisciplinary cooperation is necessary. and innovative approaches that combine domain knowledge with advanced data analytics and machine learning techniques.

Looking ahead, future research directions in smart recommendation systems for carrier-shipper matching include incorporating domain knowledge, enhancing model interpretability, improving scalability and performance, addressing fairness and bias concerns, and adapting to dynamic environments. By tackling these challenges and embracing emerging technologies, we can develop recommendation models that drive efficiency, cost savings, and customer satisfaction in the logistics industry.

In summary, smart recommendation systems have the potential to revolutionize carrier-shipper matching, optimize logistics operations, and improve supply chain performance. In order to stimulate more research and innovation in this quickly developing sector, this survey report synthesizes current knowledge and identifies important problems and opportunities.

REFERENCES

1. Hybrid XGBoost-SVM Model for Multi-criteria Carrier Selection in E-commerce Logistics" by Wang et al. 2023
2. A Multi-objective Optimization Approach for Carrier Selection in Container Shipping using Random Forest and Deep Neural Network by Chen et al. 2023
3. Ensemble Learning Approach for Carrier Selection in Freight Transportation using Stacking and Voting by Wu et al. 2022
4. Rule-based and Machine Learning Hybrid Model for Carrier Selection in Less-than-Truckload Transportation by He et al. 2022
5. Case-based Reasoning with Reinforcement Learning for Dynamic Carrier Recommendation in Intermodal Transportation by Li et al. 2021
6. Genetic Algorithm-based Optimization for Multi-modal Carrier Selection in Supply Chain Management" by Xu et al. 2020
7. Hybrid Explainable Neural Network Approach for Carrier Selection in Freight Transportation by Zhang et al. 2020
8. Hybrid Model with Deep Learning and Rule-based System for Real-time Carrier Recommendation in E- commerce Logistics by Liu et al. 2019
9. Artificial Intelligence based System on enhancing the Capabilities of Transport System: A Systemic Literature Review, by Muhammad Zain Malik, Shah Nazir, Habib Ullah Khan 2023.
10. A systematic review and research perspective on recommender systems by Deepjyoti Roy* and Mala Dutta 2022
11. Deep Based Recommender System For Relevant K Pick-up Points, by Ayoub Berdeddouch, Ali Yhyaouy, Younes Benanni 2020

