Heavy Metals in Soil and Their Impact on Plant Health: A Contamination Study

Bangshidhar Goswami

Ex-Assistant Professor, Department of Metallurgical Engineering,

Ran Vijay Singh College of Engineering and Technology,

Jamshedpur, East-Singhbhum, Jharkhand, India

***Email:** goswami.b8757@gmail.com

Abstract

This study investigates heavy metal uptake, tolerance, and sequestration mechanisms in various plants, focusing on crops such as tea, vegetables, and fruit trees that are susceptible to heavy metal contamination. Trace elements in plants, if overabundant, pose toxicity risks by disrupting metabolic and physiological functions. Plants counteract heavy metal complexes by immobilizing them within cell walls or vacuoles or by binding them to organic compounds produced in situ. Elevated levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) are often a byproduct, triggering oxidative stress that impacts plant homeostasis and cellular integrity. This stress response involves pro-oxidant and antioxidant imbalance, along with changes in enzymatic functions that affect oxygen activation, hydrogen peroxide production, and the release of defensive compounds like methylglyoxal. Seasonal and incidental contaminations vary by location and soil type, influencing the distribution of heavy metals between roots, stems, and leaves. Techniques such as chelation, compartmentalization, and symbiotic interactions with mycorrhizal fungi are highlighted for their roles in reducing metal uptake. Analytical studies reveal that plant-derived substances like metallothioneins, phytochelatins, polysaccharides, and organic acids significantly mediate heavy metal immobilization. Given the long biological half-life of heavy metals in human tissues, the cumulative effects of consuming contaminated plant products require monitoring, particularly in consumer staples like tea. Findings underscore the need for stringent quality control measures and adaptive agricultural practices to mitigate heavy metal accumulation in edible plants, thus protecting human health and preserving soil quality.

Keywords: Chemically induced oxidative stress, heavy metal contamination, metallothioneins and phytochelatins, plant uptake mechanisms, soil-plant interactions

Effect of heavy metal pollutant to vegetable plantation

To start formative issues from soil chemistries, developments in vegetable formative efficacy have been studied. Concentrations of Zn, Cr, and Cu have been evaluated as effective elements. Hg, Cd, and As have all been harmful. Subsequent components are regarded as contaminated. According to the Soil Quality Criterion GB 15618-1995 ($6.5 < pH < 7.5$), As (9.2%), Hg (10.3%), and Cd (24.1%) have all been found to be contaminated. The heavy metal content has been found to be as follows: Cd > Hg > As > Zn > Cu > Cr > Pb. Reputable assessments of heavy metal containment have stated that additional zones should be evaluated for specific cases involving Cd, Hg, and Zn. These zones included As and Cd, as well as either lower concentrations of Hg, Zn, and Cu or correlated high doses of the same. In extremely high dosages, specified for both industry-wide and sewage assessment, with a maximum of 2.36 mg/kg of mercury exposure. Vegetables grown in greenhouses have high levels of Cu and Cr containment, while urban vegetation has a lower Pb content. Generally accepted research indicates that vegetable land must have a low concentration of heavy metals. However, the common theories relating arena-wide rescues in cases where additional pollutants are arbitrary [2].

Heavy metals assumed in oil palm plantation

Research has shown that naturally occurring heavy metals can differ depending on how the soil is explored or prepared from below. These could be meticulous mining, farming, and industrial processes associated with soil preparation. Fertilizer additions, as well as polluters' payments, further exacerbated the formative conditions for an increase in heavy metals, particularly in oil palm plantations. With access to an Inductively Coupled Plasma-Optical Emission Spectrometer (ICP-OES), the aforementioned explorers have examined digested samples. The Geo-accumulation index (I_{geo}) is a measure of the extent of heavy metal accumulation that has contaminated geology. According to standard evaluation formats, the ranges for copper, zinc, lead, and nickel are 0.76–2.00 mg kg^{-1}, 0.29–1.58 mg kg^{-1}, 0.07–0.22 mg kg^{-1}, and 0.01–0.05 mg kg^{-1}. When examined from indices, said contaminations, higher-sided formatives, and standard indexing were seen to be safer, which lengthened the remaining two equal I_{geo} in an informative manner. Although the claim was overpowered by the residual arsenic and cadmium in the soil, suggestive analytics have led to these findings.

Therefore, by increasing the elapsed strength of additively formed skews of pesticides and fertilizers, subjective relevance has increased marginal contamination. These constant increases in aids for palm ever-changing soil pollutants from arsenic, lead, and cadmium. Aid or a fertile response to nutrients has been shown to cause a basic enhancement in bioavailability; on the other hand, polluter pay-like mechanisms have increased bioavailability. Fertility of nutrients is influenced by the dosage of nitrous oxides. Both humans and other living things are affected by these lines headed towards consumers in both carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic ways. Fertile soil skews have been investigated to improve pH or buffer, or to react with heavy metal containment through surface charges. Leaching, water pollution, and the eradication of soil microorganisms have all been linked to these increases in fertile components in oil palm soil [3].

Heavy metal pollution to banana plantation by municipal landfill

Instances of disposed municipal solid waste in urban areas have been studied with regard to heavy metal contamination factors for soils. Vegetable growth is impacted by the estimation of Pb, Cu, Cd, Hg, and Zn contamination in soils, which has been reported as a pollution load index. Concentrations of Zn (14.15±0.73), Cu (14.15±1.59), Cd (6.57±1.71), and Hg (6.29±0.97) were suggested for heavy metals. It has been reported that geo-accumulation indices are less than three in both land-filled and allocation-specific zones. Certain areas have been hypothesized to have high levels of zinc contamination, as well as possible landfill to copper and cadmium contamination, which points to a mercury complex. It has been sought that soil contamination factors in allotted sites and land-filled areas be greater than six, whereas in swamp sites they should be less than one. Proposals pertaining to research on anthropogenic factors and ecotoxicology were prevalent. Consumption of these contaminated agendas has been shown to have long-term negative effects due to bioaccumulation in plants. Long-term cumulative health effects of this harm have been linked to mutagenic, teratogenic, neurotoxic, and carcinogenic effects [4].

Heavy metal to effect tea plantation

Both fresh and processed tea leaves as well as the soils of tea plantations have been examined for heavy metal contamination. Assessing recommended levels of Cd, Cr, Pb, As, and Se has been the goal. Analytical assessment has been used on soils, processed or black tea leaves, and fresh tea leaves. Atomic absorption spectrometry in graphite furnace (GF-AAS) has been chosen as the heavy metal digestion method. From indigestion to digestive forms, the corresponding pursued forms have been rated to varying degrees. Nitric acid, nitric acid overnight, nitric acid–hydrogen peroxide, nitric acid–perchloric acid, sulphuric acid, and dry ash formation have all been used as digestion media. Instead, Table 1 displays the search from the accessed formed suit for the digestions that were pursued and Table 2 displays the reported additives [5].

Table 1: Graphite furnace-atomic absorption spectrometry (GF-AAS) digestions that are pursued [5].

No. of digestion	Chemicals pursued	Effectiveness of digestion to recover elements
First	Nitric acid	Easy, fast
Second	Nitric acid overnight	Efficient
Third	Nitric acid–hydrogen peroxide	Easy, fast
Fourth	Nitric–perchloric acid	
Fifth	Sulfuric acid	
Sixth	Dry ash forming	

Table 2: Analytical report following the use of atomic absorption spectrometry (GF-AAS) in a graphite furnace [5].

Optimized names of heavy metals	Optimized weight of radical in μg/g
Fresh tea leaves	
Cd	0.03–0.13
Pb	0.05–0.14
As	0.19–2.06
Se	0.47–1.31
Processed tea	
Cd	0.04–0.16
Cr	0.45–10.73
Pb	0.07–1.03
As	0.89–1.90
Se	0.21–10.79
Soil	
Cd	0.11–0.45
Pb	2.80–66.54

As	0.78–4.49
Se	0.03–0.99

Because it affects the quality of tea, the buildup of heavy metals in tea leaves is concerning. This study described the long-term alterations in heavy-metal fractions and soil properties in tea gardens and their impact on plants' uptake of metals from the soil. Samples of soil and tea leaves were taken from five plantations in Jinghua, Zhejiang Province, southeast China, that have been in operation for two to seven decades. The six chemical fractions of copper (Cu), nickel (Ni), manganese (Mn), zinc (Zn), lead (Pb), chromium (Cr), iron (Fe), water-soluble, exchangeable, carbonate-bound, organic-matter bound, oxide-bound, and residual forms in the soils were characterized. Tests were also conducted on the accumulation of dissolved organic matter in the soils and the impact of low-molecular-weight organic acids on the solubility of heavy metals in the soil. The use of tea plantations for an extended period of time caused dissolved organic matter to accumulate, soil pH to drop, and water-soluble and exchangeable metal fractions to rise, increasing the amount of metals in leaves. When the pH of the soil was lower than 4.4, the influence was more pronounced. The findings showed that increased metal accumulation in tea leaves was also largely caused by acidification and dissolved organic matter accumulation brought on by tea plantations. This was especially true for the low-concentration heavy metal-polluted soils, where pH and dissolved organic matter primarily regulated the metals' availability [6].

Heavy metal in plantation absorbed from sewage sludge

When it comes to mature plants other than those with more than twenty tears, heavy metal contaminations have suggestive problems. Long-term soil potential build up or heavy metal accumulation has been supplemented by formative forms supplied by vide that were exposed to the soil. However, there has also been the issue of how much domestic sewage sludge there is. Studies comparing soil compositions with and without fertilizer, as well as old and new, have revealed differences in the containment of heavy elements. It has been reported that subjective containment in soil has higher concentrations of Cd, Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb, and Zn than stem-wood tests for various tea plant species. Even so, containment has been assessed for both Cd and Cr, albeit at a lower margin than the margin under prevention. Plant pathogen problems are causing Cu and Ni to decline, while Pb and Zn have out-of-range restrictions. It appears that using stem wood for electricity production has very little impact on the amount of heavy metals that end up in the air as pollutants and ash [7].

Heavy metal in guava plantation

The ascent of heavy metals from the soil has always led to concerns about adhering to heavy metal contamination limits in every area of plantations. After sequential extraction, reports of heavy metal contamination in guava plantations have been made. Evaluation has made use of conventional atomic absorption spectrometry techniques. However, there has been less of a potential for these metals in the soil. The elements under consideration that have been reported are Cu, Ni (estimated to be between 2.71 and 4.52 mg kg^{-1}), Cd, Pb, and Mn. Except for Pb and Cu, the suggested contents at guava plantations have been almost identically preserved; however, Cu concentrations in seeds have reached as high as 0.01 mg kg^{-1}. Fe was found in all samples, and there were noticeable differences in different areas for Zn contamination. Given that all containments are below allowable limits, the best recommendation has been followed [8].

Heavy metal in cocoa plantation

The pH of the soil on cocoa plantations has been altered by the presence of heavy metals such as Pb, Cd, Ni, Cu, and Zn. Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (AAS) Shimadzu AA6300 and pH starter Ohaus 3000 have been used in selective tests over soils to determine pH. The World Health Organization's recommended levels of contamination for Pb and Cd were exceeded in the study, but Ni, Cu, and Zn were within acceptable bounds. Studies have indicated that plants grown in acidic soils absorb more ionic forms of Pb^{2+}, Cd^{2+}, Ni^{2+}, Cu^{2+}, Zn^{2+}, and Al^{3+} than other ions. Thus, cocoa plants are under more stress as a result of these heavy metal ion accumulations. Additional contamination from additives like pesticides and fertilizers has also been found in crop productions affected by the contamination. Rather than affecting plants directly, these types of addition have primarily affected soil. As a result, these have been classified as soil polluters by numerous agencies. Thus, heavy metal containment has an impact on both growing plants and their subsequent consumption. Similar deficiencies manifest in cocoa plants as growth inhibition, impaired crop yield, inhibition of metabolism, and enzymatic activity [9].

Defence of plantation to combat heavy metal pollutant in soil

Plant physiology abnormalities are caused by the accumulation of heavy metals in plants. When heavy metals interact with cellular biomolecules, toxic effects develop in plants. These theoretically explained deviations from plant morphological problems, such as proteins and deoxyribose nucleic acid, or DNA. The pursuit of excessive reactive oxygen species formation to alter the precise morphological, metabolic, and physiological effects is another effect of the anomaly scheme. These have been described as oppression or overburdening in order to interfere with regular plans while maintaining others. As usual, diseases resulting from this kind of metal complex accumulation have been reported, as has shoot chlorosis brought on by protein degradation and lipid peroxidation. Return to normalcy as studies on the formation of phytochelatins or metallothionein metal complexes as a means of chelating metals have shown that plants can provide effective defense against these accumulated toxic complexes. Both intra- and intercellularly, these interacted formatives are accessed. Heavy metal ionic complexes, also known as ligand-metal complexes, have the ability to remove allocation from the usual toward the unusual formative issued arena beyond a certain point. The intracellular antioxidant enzymes' ability to detoxify metals has been bolstered by the infrequent acquisition of proline or other non-enzymatically produced substances. Fungal species called Arbuscular Mycorrhizal (AM) largely explain plant defences. By completing the first biomolecule formation over cell walls, excluding metal ion complexes, and ultimately reducing the inswing uptake of aid in extra-verged-semi-forming metal ions, these have immobilized heavy metals. It has been said that these fungi are planted machinery that increases anti-oxidation. Alternately, allowing the metal ions to better equilibrate before reducing the amount attributed to fungal formation. Therefore, anthropogenic disturbances of the biosphere have been encouraged by the curse of Genesis. Lake Geneva has paid policies for natural polluters, which makes it a compelling lake to choose for copious, comprehensive, and overwhelming hints beneath the surface. Industrialization, intensive farming, and mining have all had a general negative impact on natural biogeochemical cycles, whether for ecological, nutritional, or environmental reasons. According to the adoption of heavy metal complexes in nature, persistent, non-biodegradable inorganic chemical constituents have a higher density and can have cytotoxic, genotoxic, and mutagenic effects on humans. Plant elemental forms that are inclusive have been found to exceed concentrations of Fe, Mn, Zn, Cu, Mg, Mo, and Ni, as well as an unidentified bio-physiologic functional group that includes Cd, Sb, Cr, Pb, As, Co, Ag, Se, and Hg. Plants have been impacted by soil, irrigation, atmosphere, and subjective composure. Differentiating the structures of proteins and enzymes has resulted in significant effects, regardless of the method of capture. For growth, metabolism, and development to be involved, complex heavy metal requirements in planted formations have actually been relatively low. Overreach of subjective claim reversed by involving other issued formative to obtain the same fact. After acts opting out for toxic heavy metals, displacement in protein obstructive displacement is issued as a way to impair normal plant metabolism. The main causes of formative hindrances include anomalies in the bonds between grouped heavy metals and sulfhydryl, which result in a deficit from the affected grouping or correlated disruptive formatives. Other factors include pigments or enzymes, like whelm membranous fact, which can interfere with respiration, photosynthesis, and enzymatic activities [10].

Allocate to vary heavy metal contamination in plant

There have always been heavy metal complexes, varying in presence depending on the location. Industrial waste and related interventions have contributed to the increase of these complexes. Favor and disfavour both seemed to increase with more or decrease with abductions in plantation schemes. Each plant has a tolerance level for these impurities or pollutants. Phytoremediation and bio-fortification are two terms for these methods. The homeostasis of metal complexes for specifications related to plantations has been examined through chelation and sequestration. Processing on plantations either eliminates hazardous metal complexes or creates problems with the morphology of cells. An abundance of these complexes has suggested that toxic symptoms are shared pathways that involve overlaps and cascades of common elements. Consequently, uptake/efflux, transport/sequestration, and chelation are three intricate systems found in cellular explanation. Plants have been divided into two groups based on the micronutrients that are said to have come from metal complexes other than dosage formation. Alternatively, plants may favor accumulation or not to such an extent. Subject-purview has obtained metal from metallic complexes through pathways from metallic substituted complexes and signals about accumulation. However, based on the real element complex form compared to that of heavier origin, subjective accumulation has been obtained [11].

Conclusion

The comprehensive assessment of heavy metal uptake and mitigation mechanisms in diverse plant species emphasizes the intricate balancing act required for agricultural productivity and environmental sustainability. Plants demonstrate an impressive array of adaptive responses, including chelation, compartmentalization, and symbiotic interactions, to counter the adverse effects of metal accumulation. The findings underscore the potential of bioremediation and selective breeding to enhance resilience in crops exposed to heavy metals, particularly in economically significant species like tea, vegetables, and fruits. However, the persistent accumulation of metals in plant tissues, exacerbated by seasonal and soil variability, poses an ongoing challenge, particularly regarding the implications for human health through the food chain. The results advocate for stringent regulatory frameworks and innovative agronomic practices to reduce heavy metal contamination in agricultural soils, emphasizing the importance of sustaining both plant health and soil quality.

References

1. Xi-bai ZENG, Lian-fang LI & Xu-rong ME (2008). Heavy Metal Content in Chinese Vegetable Plantation Land Soils and Related Source Analysi. *Agricultural Sciences in China*, 7(9), 1115.
2. Zhongchen Hu, Jianwu Li, Hailong Wang, Zhengqian Ye, Xudong Wang, Yongfu Li, Dan Liu & Zhaoliang Song (2019). Soil Contamination with Heavy Metals and Its Impact on Food Security in China, *Journal of Geoscience and Environment Protection*, 7(5), 168-183, Available at https://www.scirp.org/journal/paperinformation.aspx?paperid=92760.
3. Wan Noni Afida Ab Manan, Fazrul Razman Sulaiman, Rubaiyah Alias & Rusdin Laiman (2018). Determination of Selected Heavy Metal Concentrations in an Oil Palm Plantation Soil. *Journal of Physical Science*, 29(3), 63–70, Available at https://www.researchgate.net/publication/328454645_Determination_of_Selected_Heavy_Metal_Concentrations_in_an_Oil_Palm_Plantation_Soil.
4. Fonge, B. A., Nkoleka, E. N., Asong, F. Z., Ajonina, S. A. & Che, V. B. (2017). Heavy metal contamination in soils from a municipal landfill, surrounded by banana plantation in the eastern flank of Mount Cameroon. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 16(25), 1391-1399, Available at https://academicjournals.org/journal/AJB/article-abstract/0FAA49864868.
5. Harunur Rashid, Zeenath Fardous, M. Alamgir, Zaman Chowdhury, Khorshed Alam, Latiful Bari, Mohammed Moniruzzaman & Siew Hua Gan (2016). Determination of heavy metals in the soils of tea plantations and in fresh and processed tea leaves: an evaluation of six digestion methods. *Chemistry Central Journal*, 10(7), Available at https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26900397.
6. Mingkui Zhang & Liping Fang (2007). Tea Plantation–Induced Activation of Soil Heavy Metals. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 38(11-12), 1467-1478,
7. Marius Praspaliauskas, Nerijus Pedisius & Audrius Gradeckas (2018). Accumulation of heavy metals in stemwood of forest tree plantations fertilized with different sewage sludge doses. *Journal of Forestry Research*, 29(2), 347–361.

8. Khairiah Jusoh, Y. Ding-Woei, Habibah Jamil, R. Ahmad-Mahir, A. Aminah & B.S. Ismail (2009). Concentration of heavy metals in guava plants and soil in the Sungai Wangi plantation, Perak, Malaysia. *International Journal of Agricultural Research,* 4(10), 310-316, Available at https://www.researchgate.net/publication/250302960_Concentration_of_Heavy_Metals_in_Guava_Plant_Parts_and_Soil_in_the_Sungai_Wangi_Plantation_Perak_Malaysia.
9. Zul Arham, La Ode Asmin, Rosmini & Muhammad Nurdin (2017). Heavy Metal Content of Cocoa Plantation Soil in East Kolaka, Indonesia. *Oriental Journal of Chemistry,* 33(3), 1164-1170, Available at http://www.orientjchem.org/vol33no3/heavy-metal-content-of-cocoa-plantation-soil-in-east-kolaka-indonesia/.
10. Abolghassem Emamverdian, Yulong Ding, Farzad Mokhberdoran & Yinfeng Xie, (2015). Heavy Metal Stress and Some Mechanisms of Plant Defense Response. *The Scientific World Journal,* Available at https://www.hindawi.com/journals/tswj/2015/756120/.
11. Katrin Viehweger (2014). How plants cope with heavy metals. *Botanical Studies,* 55(35), pp. 1-12, Available at: https://as-botanicalstudies.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/1999-3110-55-35.