Journals Pub

International Journal of
Chemical Engineering and Processing

ISSN: 2455-5576
Volume 11, Issue 2, 2025
July–December
DOI (Journal): 10.37628/IJCEP

https://journalspub.com/current/ijocep-v-10-i-01-2024/

Research IJCEP

Chemical Engineers at the Nanoscale: Making Nanomaterials That Work

V. B. Hans[1,*], Aaron K. Tatpati[2]

Abstract

The convergence of chemical engineering and nanotechnology has created revolutionary prospects in material design, catalysis, energy storage, and biomedical applications. This article examines the changing responsibilities of chemical engineers in the creation and development of functional nanomaterials – substances designed at the molecular or atomic level to exhibit specific characteristics and functions. The article discusses important synthesis methods such as sol–gel processing, chemical vapor deposition, and self-assembly. It also talks about how to combine computer modelling with process engineering ideas. To show how nanoscale advances can affect the actual world, the authors look at how they can be used in medicine delivery systems, nano-catalysts, and next-generation batteries. There are additional problems with scalability, reproducibility, and environmental safety. This shows how important chemical engineers are in connecting basic research with large-scale production. Chemical engineers are in a unique position to lead the creation and use of nanomaterials that are both useful and environmentally friendly, as the need for precision-engineered materials develops.

Keywords: Nanomaterials, chemical engineering, functional materials, nanoscale design, sustainable manufacturing

INTRODUCTION

In the last 20 years, materials science has grown from the molecular/sub-micrometer range to the nanoscale. These functional nanomaterials help chemical engineers create new processes and products in the fields of health care, environmental protection, and sustainable energy. It is time to go forward with the next generation of sustainable chemical products and processes, with a stronger emphasis on nanomaterials.

The ongoing miniaturization of electronics and the hunt for new energy sources have led to a huge increase in research and development of nanoscale materials, processing, and device design. Nanomaterials have features that are very different from those of bulk materials, and many of these properties rely on size and can greatly improve performance [1]. Exciting new developments in nanoscale materials science and chemical engineering design have made it possible to create several long-sought electrical, optical, catalytic, sensory, and biocompatible functions. Understanding the basic rules that govern behavior on the nanoscale will help scientists make useful nanomaterials that can be used in energy conversion, cleaning up the environment, and diagnosing health problems [2].

***Author for Correspondence**
V. Basil Hans
E-mail: vhans2011@gmail.com

[1]Research Professor, Srinivas University, Mangalore, Karnataka, India.
[2]Research Scholar, Srinivas University, Mangalore, Karnataka, India.

Received Date: October 15, 2025
Accepted Date: October 27, 2025
Published Date: October 30, 2025

Citation: V. Basil Hans, Aaron Kunal Tatpati. Chemical Engineers at the Nanoscale: Making Nanomaterials That Work. International Journal of Chemical Engineering and Processing. 2025; 11(2): 14–27p.

BASIC IDEAS ABOUT NANOMATERIALS

Nanomaterials are materials that have at least one dimension in the nanoscale range (1–100 nm) and have new properties and functions because of

quantum confinement, a large surface area, and a lot of surface energy. Structures can be sorted into 0D (quantum dots) to 3D (bulk). The field is changing quickly, with a lot of work being done in synthesis, characterization, simulation, and more complex chemical uses. The increasing interest in sustainability and materials of societal significance has driven the investigation of functional nanomaterials, emphasizing fundamental characteristics, practical synthesis, systems-scale characterization, and applications in catalysis, sensing, and energy ([3]). The design of functional nanomaterials is predicated on the manipulation of fundamental chemical and physical phenomena at the atomic scale, with quantum effects, dimensionality, size, and surface chemistry as particularly significant considerations. Quantum confinement affects the electronic structure of nanoscale materials by changing the band energy, conduction and valence band position, density of states, and carrier concentration through the interaction of particle-in-a-box effects, surface states, and localized defect states. You can improve performance for a lot of different uses, like catalysis and sensing, by changing these factors through size and shape. The dimensionality and size of nanomaterials determine their heterogeneous reactivity, stability, and transport. For nanomaterials with the same composition, 0D, 1D, 2D, and 3D structures correspond to higher thermal stability and shorter reaction times. Surface chemistry affects how reactive, stable, and electrically conductive materials are. Nanoscale materials have high surface-to-volume ratios, which means that surface energy has a bigger effect than bulk energy. Knowing how changing these basic parts affects design at the nanoscale makes it possible to systematically think about goal performance indicators for a wide range of uses.

Quantum Effects and Electronic Structure

The nanoscale size gives materials special quantum properties. Size reduction causes energy-level quantization to change from 2D to 3D, which makes electrochemical properties more complicated because size-dependent changes have different effects on chemical activity. The unusual electrical properties that come from nano structuring have a big effect on how electrons and ions move, which has a direct effect on how well nanomaterials work in science [4]. The electronic characteristics and pertinent analyses of diverse semiconductor materials, encompassing nanowires, zero-dimensional nanocrystals, and multilayer systems, have been advanced.

When the size of a particle gets close to the de Broglie wavelength, quantum confinement comes into play and causes energy levels to become quantized [5]. Electron transport is essential for the functionality of electronic devices, and precisely characterizing nanoelectronic wave characteristics through the resolution of the Schrödinger equation is imperative. Landauer formalism is the first theory to explain quantum conductance and how it depends on the nano-structure of a material. It does this by measuring how charge carriers flow through either conductance or transmission probability. Theoretical understanding can be employed to examine the influence of particle configuration on the conductive characteristics of materials. Zero-dimensional semiconductor nanocrystals and two-dimensional layered materials possess distinct electronic properties that set them apart from bulk materials. For semiconductor materials, like silicon and carbon, a range of working functions is observed, while the quantum-confined energy levels achieve uniformity and controllable variation.

Understanding band-structure modelling is a good way to test how reliable nanomaterials are. In standard semiconductor materials, band-gap energy follows the quantum-confinement model, but this is not the case for two-dimensional nanostructures. Surface-state related band-structure examines a critical subset of quantum operations to reveal the localized energy state linked to surface defects in nanomaterials. The presence of surface states can substantially lower the potential barrier of solid-state reactions by reducing energy costs, thereby enhancing overall catalytic capability.

Properties that Depend on Size and Dimensions

When designing nanoparticles, it is important to think about the materials' morphology, form, and dimensionality because these things can have a big effect on reactivity, stability, mass transfer, and other physical phenomena. Nanoparticles can be categorized as zero-dimensional (0D), one-dimensional (1D), two-dimensional (2D), or three-dimensional (3D), based on their building principles,

structure, and dimensions. Bottom–up approaches allow 0D materials to grow directly as the size of agglomerates or precursor-controlled assemblies. This makes it possible to make quantum dots, metal clusters, and zero-dimensional nanocrystals. These kinds of top–down or strategic synthesis methods make 1D materials with special characteristics and better catalytic behavior. Templates or guidance can also assist in breaking up symmetry and creating new electrical structures that affect morphogenesis. It is now possible to construct hybrid or multilayered structures, which can be 2D or 3D and have attributes that can be changed along different dimensions.

Chemistry of Surfaces and Interfaces

A material's characteristics change when it is at the nanoscale. The particular surface area and activity of nanomaterials are the most important factors in heterogeneous catalysis, for example. Nanoscale semiconductor materials show photocatalytic activity that can remove pollutants from both solid and liquid phases. Quantum-size effects characterize nanoscale semiconductors, facilitating extensive applications in solar energy conversion, transistors, light-emitting diodes, and photocatalytic pollution remediation. The dimensionality of materials significantly affects their qualities and is a well-established principle in material design. Nanoscale materials are usually grouped by their dimensionality: zero-dimensional, one-dimensional, two-dimensional, and three-dimensional. Surface chemistry encompasses elements, such as surface energy, interfacial charge transfer, functionalization, surface area, and hydrophilicity; they influence the chemical reactivity or physical characteristics of nanomaterials. Because nanomaterials are so small, their surfaces make up a large part of the entire material. Most macroscopic materials are heterogeneous until they are reduced to the nanoscale; knowing surface chemistry is also necessary for heterogeneous systems with unique properties.

Surface energy is very important for catalytic processes that happen on nanomaterials. Catalytic processes on the surface of nanomaterials lower the overall surface energy of substrates and catalytic systems. Changing the surface states can dramatically improve catalytic performance. One of the biggest problems in the field is making nanomaterials with low-energy surfaces and the right band gaps [6]. The semiconductor interfacial charge-transfer mechanism is a common way to create nanomaterials. Two critical criteria that affect how well photogenerated charge separation works are weak charge transfer and high interface capacitance. By changing the surface chemistry of semiconductor materials, you can speed up the process of transferring electrons and make the conversion more efficient. Changing the surface changes how the parts interact with each other, and molecule-surface interaction can speed up the self-assembly of functional materials at the interface. Surface characteristics, including hydrophilicity, hydrophobicity, and chemical modification, substantially affect interfacial self-assembly and the ultimate shape of formed materials [7].

METHODS FOR SYNTHESIS AND FABRICATION

Nanomaterials and devices derived from nanoscale materials exhibit significant potential to meet contemporary demands in energy, health, environmental sustainability, and information technology. It is important to find ways to make and build nanoparticles, nanowires, nanotubes, nanorods, and nanodisks of metal oxides, metals, and carbon allotropes that are safe, cheap, and easy to scale up. Nanostructured materials are produced by either bottom–up or top–down methodologies. The first group covers synthesis in the gas phase, synthesis in the liquid phase, and synthesis in the solid phase. The second group includes topology reduction, annealing, and self-assembly. This shows how to make metal oxides using a bottom–up process called Fluid Phase Segregation.

Approaches from the Bottom–Up

Nanoscale materials can be made by putting together atoms and molecules with very fine control over their length, from the femtometer to the micrometer. This concept is broad enough to include a wide range of functional objects, integrated systems, and fabrication paradigms that are necessary for making functional nanomaterials. Nanoscale materials are typically constructed using a top–down strategy that breaks down larger material units into nanosized bits, or a bottom–up strategy that builds larger assemblies from smaller building blocks. This part is about bottom–up assembly methods, such

as chemical assembly, self-assembly, and self-organization, from the nanoscale to the micro- and larger scales. This is in accordance with developed defect management strategies for high-performance nanomaterials [8]. The strategy stresses how important defects, connectivity, and interfacial features are in figuring out how nanomaterials work, how well they work, and what they may be used for. Before talking about assembly procedures, there is a summary of these things.

Fluidic and chemical assembly methods for nanoparticles and liquids let you get nanoscale materials by building them up from the bottom up. These methods make thin films, solid-state gels, and porous hydrogels. One of the best things about these methods is that they can handle a lot of samples or process conditions at once. Fluidic and chemical assembly approaches enable the incorporation of sensing functionalities at many scales and facilitate the in-situ monitoring of interfacial property variations during assembly, elements deemed crucial for achieving responsive sensing. These multiscale platforms link changes in particle arrangement and permittivity to the conditions of assembly and the shape of the film. This helps with cross-dimensional design across particulate assemblies.

Top–Down Methods

Top–down procedures break down large materials, commonly using soft and hard lithography and etching. Because top–down methods use materials that are already at the nanoscale, they often do not have the simple, green, and scalable qualities of bottom–up synthesis. Lateral-pattern creation and wet etching are the two main ways to separate phases. For lateral-pattern formation, small areas of increased or well-constructed thickness are made in a polymer resist on a substrate. A little area of the resist below the increased thickness is kept undeveloped so that the material that is exposed is eliminated when the resist is developed. Phase separation techniques usually create periodic nanostructures, like holes in a thin polymer film over a layer of water or islands/holes in a thin metallic film.

A common top–down method is template-assisted patterning. Finding catalytic nanoscale surfaces is vital for research on catalysis. A vapor-growth approach makes metal bridges between certain sections of chemically treated surfaces. Gravure printing a colloidal monolayer makes a template. Normal evaporation puts down a metal film. The ability to separate the printed and template surfaces later gives a lot of flexibility for integrating processes later. Fast systems for making templates combine the size of the spots, the speed of scanning, the ability to integrate laterally, the choice of different chemical functional groups inside the template, and the methods used to deposit films (sputtering, MBE, thermal, and laser). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) shows that you can regulate application spaces as small as 10 nm. An inert switch on the multilayer can be utilized to switch thin films optically.

Methods That Are Green and Can Be Used on a Large Scale

It is still very hard to safely and effectively make functional nanomaterials. Life-cycle analysis shows that nanoscale materials should help, not hurt, efforts to be more sustainable, safe, and ethical. This makes them more appealing for use in energy, medicinal, and environmental applications. Chemical engineers need to come up with greener, more scalable ways to make things that use fewer solvents and have less extreme temperature, pressure, and energy characteristics, while also making as little waste as possible [9].

Gas-phase chemical pathways to nanomaterials provide advantageous simplicity and adaptability. Even though thermal plasma and on-surface gas-phase systems still need a lot of energy, traditional vapor transport methods need carrier solvents that are dangerous or toxic. Other processes, such as biogenic pathways, ball-milling, or desolvation, can make structures that are not connected, products with a lot of additives, or limited output spectrum.

An approach for nonaqueous organic systems has arisen that involves doing most of the processing in the vapor phase. This minimizes the use of solvents and the amount of waste produced. Using low-volatile organosilicon compounds as monomers for mild, waste-free synthesis also speeds up condensation and stops contamination, making detailed but soft films of customized complexes. Even

while minimum-solvent and precursor-solution synthesis is getting closer to using no solvents at all, there are still problems to tackle, such as controlling deactivation, during chemical stress testing.

CHARACTERIZATION AND ANALYSIS

To find the structure-property connections that govern the creation of nanomaterials, we need to use methodologies that are appropriate for the size and properties of nanoscale materials. SEM, transmission electron microscopy (TEM), and scanning tunnelling microscopy (STM) are all types of electron microscopy that can tell you about the shape, crystallinity, and identification of atoms. Scanning and atomic force microscopy (AFM) give information on mechanical qualities, size, and surface features. Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) give information about chemical bonding environments and elemental composition. Ultraviolet-visible (UV–vis) spectroscopy examines optoelectronic characteristics, such as the band gap and the density of localized states; Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy and Raman spectroscopy investigate vibrational modes; and electrochemical, gas-sorption, and thermal-cycling tests disclose functional properties. By combining these observations with the ideas in Section 3, we can get a clear picture of how nanoscale shape affects chemical reactivity, stability, and transport.

Sintering and cation diffusion generally limit traditional solid-state synthesis, which makes it hard to change the size and shape of the materials. Model-driven, data-driven, and quantitative approaches to chemical solution methods, including atomistic and quantum calculations of free-energy landscapes, have accelerated defect engineering – yielding, for example, enhanced electronic transport through the stabilization of interstitials in solid-state batteries and the systematic distribution of excess H^+ in SiO_2-supported zeolite materials. The new expanded defect toolbox makes it possible to combine fundamental electronic structure with kinetic models. This helps us better customize activity for Li- and Na-based storage materials and improve the differential generation and recombination of carriers in ternary and quaternary oxynitride- and oxide-based photocatalysts. Signature characteristics in microscopy and spectroscopic data offer experimental validation, aiding in transport modelling, phase diagram calculations, and the optimization of operating parameters necessary for scaling up practical assembly.

Characterization of Structure and Morphology

It is important to know the size, shape, dimensionality, and crystalline phase of nanomaterials in order to predict and relate their properties and behavior. There are well-known connections between some characteristics and optoelectronic properties, conductivity, diffusion, and catalytic activity that help with design decisions. Such morphology-function linkages create a need for quantitative, realistic characterization approaches that show how well something is predicted to work and how stable it is.

At the nanoscale, conventional analytical methods, like spectroscopy and thermogravimetric analysis, are frequently enhanced by additional techniques that can reveal three-dimensional structural, morphological, and surface information at the atomic or near-atomic scale. TEM and SEM are commonly utilized for structural characterization. Electron diffraction techniques, such as selected area electron diffraction and electron backscattering diffraction, yield crystallographic data at nanometer or micrometer resolution and facilitate the identification of the crystalline phase. Both TEM and high-angle annular dark-field STEM can make atomic-resolution pictures and diffraction patterns at the same time. This lets you see structural faults directly. STM is mostly utilized for conductors and semiconductors, while AFM elucidates topographical and mechanical characteristics.

Chemical Structure and Bonding

The chemical makeup and bonding of nanoscale materials determine how they react and how well they work in many different situations. Understanding the parts and how they fit together is very important at the nano level. FTIR and Raman spectroscopies delineate functional groups and bonding environments pertinent to degradation, stabilization, and transport. UV–vis spectroscopy reveals information about surface-plasmon resonance detected during assembly. XPS and infrared (IR) spectroscopies reveal the chemical states of elements after precursor labelling. EDX and atomic

absorption mass spectrometry make it possible to find pollutants that come from certain synthesis pathways. Measurements of surface area and porosity show how easy it is to get to reactive areas. The opto-electronic properties of semiconductor nanostructures are affected by their elemental composition and stoichiometry [10]. Identifying chemical species and functionalization is essential for the logical design of processes that depend on size and shape [11].

Bonds control how things react and move. Using the right ligands to passivate the surface can stop etching chemi-sorption or crystalline transformation when the surface is exposed to a solvent for a long time. By comprehensively knowing the chemical structure, composition, and bonding, one can correlate these aspects to structural characteristics (Section 4.1) and formulate an informed speculation regarding the anticipated functional properties to be assessed (Section 4.3).

Measurement of Functional Properties

The intended use of nanoscale materials determines their functional qualities. Electrochemical performance is essential for energy storage and conversion, while optical behavior dictates sensing, imaging, and diagnostic applications. Mechanical testing is useful in many areas, including medicine and the environment.

Electrochemical measurements are common in research that has to do with energy. Usually, an electrochemical probe reaction is used to test catalytic activity. For example, sensing applications that involve surface reactions are characterized by the faradaic current response, while other analyses use a large current density at a held or scan potential that is outside the active range. In nonequilibrium conditions with a high concentration of reactants and a good mass transfer dielectric constant, a sensor works like a perfect potentiometric device. Sensors can be built into batteries, supercapacitors, fuel cells, flow batteries, and electrocatalytic reactors to give real-time responses in systems that are always changing.

Optical testing examines the interaction between electromagnetic radiation and materials throughout a broad frequency spectrum, ranging from terahertz to X-ray. A typical method, DRUV, connects the optical absorption response to the electronic-dimensionality parameters described in Section 2.2. The investigation of sensing devices that work by quenching includes fluorescence spectroscopy and photoluminescence studies. Energy transfer from an energized material to neighboring quantum dots is used to get visual and quantitative information. Real-time monitoring uses non-radiative energy transfer quenching at short distances, and Förster resonance energy transfer lets you find two targets at the same time. Three-dimensional dysprosium-doped ceria with rectangular cross-sections supports terahertz-wave functions and allows for biosensing.

In certain situations, other qualities, including thermal conductivity, thermal stability, and mechanical strength, can be tested. It is important to assess the materials and devices used in the human body for biocompatibility. It is easy and quick to test nanomaterials for probable toxicity when they are released into the environment.

PRINCIPLES OF MODELLING, SIMULATION, AND DESIGN

Combining theory, simulation, and machine learning with experimental data makes materials research more effective and speeds up the process of making design choices. Computation and data-based technologies make experimental work faster, cheaper, and smaller in scale. Atomistic simulations help choose materials and design processes by using known rules and validated ideas from earlier physical studies. On the other hand, data-driven methods find new correlations that can be used to make predictions.

Density functional theory (DFT) and coupled-cluster theory are examples of ab initio approaches that can predict stability and reactivity without using any empirical parameters. DFT is often used to look at phase spaces. When it is possible, other methods that use higher-level approximations, including hybrid density functionals or the addition of van der Waals forces, are better at dealing with bigger areas. Recent studies integrate atomistic simulation with data-driven methodologies to discern

descriptors that correlate chemical qualities with macroscopic behavior. At larger scales, techniques, like random forest, support vector regression, and neural networks, connect physical attributes to molecular microstructures.

Along with atomistic simulation, mesoscale methods help choose materials and interfaces. Phase-field models represent growth through nucleation-and-growth or spinodal breakdown, using atomistic data regarding nucleation barriers, growth kinetics, and surface energies. Finite-element methods look at how materials respond to random or controlled loads. Transport models link experimental variables to the distribution of charge and heat over porous, heterogeneous electrodes.

Modelling at the Atomic and Quantum Levels

At the nanoscale, chemical engineering works on making and designing functional materials while also measuring their performance. Computational models help make the resulting combinatorial complexity less of a problem. Researchers use DFT and atomistic approaches to model materials to find out how reactive they are, how stable they are thermodynamically, and what crystal phase they are likely to be in [12]. First-principles calculations of critical observables can help scientists choose the best candidates for experimental studies of nanoscale materials [13]. The interoperability of hybrid quantum-classical simulations with mesoscopic modelling of growth rates facilitates new opportunities for data-driven experimentation and the determination of process conditions that stabilize desired crystal morphologies.

Atoms and molecules interact through potential that govern their energy and the forces that go along with it. At the atomic level, the chemical composition and morphology of a solid influence interatomic potentials and alter the behavior of fluids adjacent to the material surface, hence affecting transport and reactivity. Nanoscale and sub-nanoscale materials are still widely used today. More people are using materials synthesis techniques that let nanoscale structures be put together from the bottom up, which makes it possible to make nanostructures that are more complicated. As a result, both academics and businesses are becoming increasingly interested in modelling and simulating the evolution of nanoscale materials. A summary of growth models based on the classical Langevin formalism has been given, showing that atomistic-level modelling is possible for many different growth scenarios. Several mesoscopic modelling methods have been created to simulate nanoscale materials and nanostructures. These methods are based on growth studies and let researchers look at how important physical phenomena change over time and space.

Creating flexible chemical engineering tools and methods can help design functional nanomaterials and processes by figuring out how structure and property are related and how changes in process conditions will affect material characteristics and reactivity. Additionally, a thorough examination of nano-particle modelling methodologies has been presented, emphasizing the interconnection among various modelling techniques commonly related to molecular, mesoscopic, and continuum modelling, and demonstrating their synergistic functions across diverse spatial and temporal dimensions.

Approaches to Mesoscale and Continuum

Phase-field, finite-element, and continuum-transport equations broaden atomic-level understanding to more extensive spatial and temporal dimensions. These mesoscale and continuum models consider how structure and local transport phenomena change over time, connecting the development of microstructure to synthesis parameters.

Phase-field models replicate diffuse-interface processes occurring during phase separation, crystallization, and nucleation. They keep track of the concentration of chemicals and the spatial gradients by minimizing a free-energy function that considers interfacial energy and other factors. Parameters regulate interfacial mobility, local equilibrium, and transport. They can be used for gas–solid processes, hydrodynamics, and electrostatics.

Finite-element, finite-volume, and meshless methods address reaction-diffusion-transport systems regulated by a master equation for fragment populations [14]. These multiscale frameworks connect nanoscale assembly to macroscopic creation [15].

Continuum-transport models concentrate on the dynamics of charge carriers and the diffusion of electrolytes in electrochemical processes, which are essential for energy-storage nanomaterials. Hybrid models integrate macroscale circuit equations with microscale charge-dynamics equations and incorporate electro diffusion-consumption-kinetics characterizations of liquid–liquid interfaces. Diffusion, interfacing, and percolation–kinetic dynamics function concurrently across many length scales.

Design Based on Data and Machine Learning

Data-driven design is very important for finding novel nanoscale materials and ways to make them that can keep up with society's changing needs [16]. Based on tiny sets of geometric descriptors, scientists have found thousands of monodispersed nanoparticle architectures. They have also used DFT results from different chemical systems to make several phase diagrams that improve precursor-scan experiments [17]. Automating the synthesis of newly discovered materials at any length scale remains a significant issue, as synthesis is rarely feasible on the limited subset of structures that have been both discovered and validated using existing methodologies. Fully autonomous materials discovery has been realized in a flow reactor solely through demonstrated instances involving the screening of simple and cost-effective precursor solutions: metallo–organics within metal–organic frameworks, silver nanostructures that photo-reduce under ambient conditions, and zeolite templates derived from commercially available chemical sets. Adding temporal or kinetic variables has been shown to speed up approximations of solvent-optimization experiments. A complementary inverse-design descriptor has been suggested to help find chemical reaction pathways that allow ore–metal-transfer patterns with controlled kinetics.

A wider variety of datasets and descriptors will enhance studies focused on discovering new materials and designing processes. High-throughput synthesis facilitated the investigation of various chemical structures; a liquid-phase polymerization system was evaluated at a single-composition variable employing a dual-receptacle configuration to accommodate diverse chemical compositions; and a nanomaterial-structure-reorganization study of thermodynamic relaxation predictability revealed novel surface–bulk compositions for optimally sized droplets. All-phase-total-energy extraction was used to find the shapes and orientations of selected particles, and class-morphology prediction was used to separate the different form families and facet shapes based on shape statistics, chemical-energy-derived features, and graphs of chemical bonding. Deep-learning image-to-image networks examined the correlation between scanning electron and transmission micrographs to categorize extensive visual data obtained from nanomaterials, while a recurrent neural network methodology for chemical synthesis derived sequences and produced novel pathway suggestions for synthetic transformations.

FUNCTIONAL NANOMATERIALS AND THEIR USES

The need to make materials with specific qualities for specific uses is a big reason why researchers and developers are working on nanoscale materials. The intended applications guide the design process by defining the material qualities required to fulfil the desired performance criteria. Chemical engineering aims to create materials and processes that are safer, more efficient, and better for the environment. Nanostructured materials can be used in a lot of different ways in this field. Nanomaterials made for semiconductor devices, capacitors, batteries, electrolytes, catalysts, sensors, imaging agents, and medical diagnostics not only improve the technology behind them, but they also help create new uses for them to be created.

Nanomaterials that have been developed must meet the desired characteristics and performance parameters. For electrochemical applications, like electrodes, electrolytes, and other solid-state interfaces, the materials need to meet the requirements for reactivity (active site density), conductivity (reaction degree during charging/discharging), stability (degradation during cycling), surface chemistry

(intermediate accumulation), temperature (operation), and temperature gradients (performance under fast transients). The reaction turnover frequency is the most important factor for catalytic uses. When a nanostructured material serves as an active site, the associated reaction rate coefficient is significant. For sensing applications, the most important performance characteristics are the response time, the detection limit, and the selectivity.

Storing and Changing Energy

The average temperature around the world rose by about 0.2 °C per decade from 2014 to 2020, reaching a total of nearly 1.1°C over preindustrial levels. The average global temperature is expected to go above the 1.5 °C limit set by the Paris Agreement by the early 2030s since greenhouse gas emissions are still rising. Chemical engineers are working hard to make energy storage and conversion technologies that work better in response. Researchers are looking into nanostructured materials to improve both renewable energy systems and chemical processes that are better for the environment [18]. Some examples are solar catalysts and batteries for storing electricity, water-splitting catalysts that make hydrogen fuel, and nitrogen-fixation catalysts that make ammonia at room temperature.

Chemical engineering research aims to improve catalysis and increase reaction rates in reactors of identical dimensions. As a result, chemical engineers have begun employing functional nanomaterials to help catalytic reactions happen. Materials design focuses on manufacturing procedures that produce structured materials with an increased specific surface area, as the exposed surface dictates the quantity of active sites accessible for attachment and reaction [19].

Chemical Reactions and Catalysis

Catalysis is important for many chemical reactions because it lowers the energy activation to speed them up and changes the routes to make them more selective. Because of this, one of the main goals of chemical engineering is to create catalysts and catalysis systems that make resource conversion more efficient, which reduces waste and harm to the environment. Nanomaterials easily make chemicals more reactive by changing the number, shape, and availability of active sites, as well as surface continuity, diffusion paths, and fast electron-transfer dynamics. They also start novel pathways or intermediates that are different from bulk materials. The goal of nanoscale control of reactivity is to improve chemical engineering goals, like increasing turnover frequency for higher productivity, improving performance metrics, like energy efficiency and sustainability in electrochemical systems, and speeding up the commercial use of new hydrogenation processes. These subjects are closely associated with the characteristics of active sites (also related to Section 4) and the enhancement of energy-storage and conversion technologies, as elaborated in the preceding section.

Diagnostics, Imaging, and Sensing

Nanoscale materials allow for sensing, imaging, and diagnostics for a wide range of uses, so it is very important to be able to find chemical, biological, and physical analytes. Sensitivity is the ability to pick up on small changes in the amount or state of an analyte. Selectivity is the ability to tell the difference between different analytes when there is interference. Resolution is the ability to find an analysis at different places in a specimen, often with time, temperature, or other variables. For instance, real-time monitoring of chemical vapors rely on analyte-dependent spectroscopic signatures; clinical diagnostics depend on the identification of biomarkers that indicate disease; and the characterization of solid-state electrocatalysts using time-resolved spectroscopy offers mechanistic insights into electrochemical processes [20]. Nanostructured materials have properties, like localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR), that improve detection performance. System-level integration, on the other hand, depends on sophisticated nanofabrication methods. The necessity for boundary conditions, supporting equations, and agent-concentration descriptors means that strong simulation tools are needed to model multiscale processes, from nanostructured morphologies to macroscale transport.

Chemical nanosensors constructed from fundamental principles utilize operational processes, target analytes, requisite material characteristics, and synthesis techniques to create a feedback loop that

directs discovery and advancement. Nanoscale materials facilitate electrochemical-organic and optical-gas methodologies that concurrently detect overpotentials, acids, and surface adsorption. In contrast, surface-enhanced Raman scattering and photoluminescence-dependent transient-closed-loop techniques tackle microscopic Kapitza-number and interfacial-charge-transfer coupling. Capabilities develop via the concurrent optimization of components, duration of growth, and size. Simultaneous assessment of stability, reactivity, and formation-energy landscapes enhances the cycle by correlating material choices with anticipated performance; docking-in-particles methodologies provide initial frameworks by connecting target analytes with theoretical chemical affinities, kinetic constants, and binding-energy profiles sourced from the Cambridge Structural Database.

In the biomedical arena, early-stage diagnoses are still very important. This has led to more attention in bioimaging, benign transport, detecting modalities, and stimuli-responsive signal amplification [21]. Nanoscale materials enable accurate imaging and monitoring of cells and bioactive chemicals, whilst nanoformulation aids in surface engineering, kinetic decoupling during cultivation, and metabolic inhibition. Time-dependent tracking methodology facilitates comparative mapping of tyrosinase-binding activity, whereas open-loop assays enhance clarity of readouts through minimal optical activation. Local field enhancements that come with metal nanostructures make fluorescence probes better. Nanocomposite chips can capture mRNA, microRNA, and proteins at the same time. LSPR resonance helps find different types of nucleic acids.

Applications in the Biomedical and Environmental Fields

A growing number of studies concentrate on biocompatible nanoscale materials for preventive or therapeutic purposes in biology and medicine. Recent advancements in nanomedicine enhanced drug delivery effectiveness by encapsulating medicines within nanoparticles, offering protection against degradation, and enabling controlled release and targeted distribution to specific cells or tissues [22]. Functionalizing the surfaces of nanoscale carriers makes them even better at targeting and being particular [23]. Nanotechnology-based surface changes enhance compatibility, promote cell adhesion, and inhibit bacterial colonization on implants, consequently prolonging service life in orthopedic, cardiovascular, and dental applications [24]. Nanomaterials also improve the sensitivity, resolution, and contrast of imaging techniques, like MRI, CT, and fluorescence, which makes it possible to get accurate, quick, and noninvasive diagnoses. To make diagnosis easier, very sensitive nanosensors and nanoprobes have also been made to find specific biomarkers.

SAFETY, SUSTAINABILITY, AND ETHICS

Responsible innovation at the nanoscale necessitates comprehension and mitigation of the potential dangers linked to nanomaterial exposure. Initial phase, life-cycle, and risk-assessment studies pinpointed the following inquiry as pivotal: What are the life-cycle environmental costs and advantages of nanoscale materials? What are the biological consequences of exposure to nanoscale materials? How do these impacts change depending on the qualities of the material and the way it is exposed? What experimental and computational tools can quantitatively forecast these impacts and guide preventive design? To tackle these enquiries, three research domains have developed: life-cycle assessment (LCA), toxicology, and exposure science. The next sections provide a brief overview of these domains, with an emphasis on the knowledge and techniques that can be used to make nanoscale materials and processes more responsible.

LCA makes it possible to directly compare the environmental effects of different processes, materials, and technologies from start to finish. Several new evaluations have been done on nanoscale materials, such as using LCA to compare different ways of making carbon nanotubes, looking at the environmental trade-offs of using TiO_2 as a photocatalyst for self-cleaning building surfaces, and doing an integrated assessment of using TiO_2 as a catalyst support in the Fischer–Tropsch reaction. The consensus is that the integration of nanoscale materials constrains the study phase space, revealing optimal solutions within a limited design framework.

Assessment of the Life Cycle

LCA looks at how engineered nanomaterials affect the environment at every stage of their life cycle, from getting the raw materials to making them, using them, and throwing them away at the end of their life. Integrating LCA with Risk Assessment (RA) facilitates trade-off management and promotes comprehensive evaluations that include environmental, health, safety, and economic factors. Some frameworks combine these methodologies to make evaluations easier by improving sustainability, hazard, and economic insights. The LICARA nanoSCAN tool and other similar tools make it easier to quickly evaluate the pros and cons of nanoproducts. Research emphasizes the application of LCA to evaluate the environmental implications of nanomaterials, analyses societal and economic effects and facilitates the advancement of more sustainable nanotechnology. To promote safer and more environmentally friendly nanomaterial production, synthesis processes are classified according to a general greenness index using strong methods that combine stochastic analysis to capture uncertainty and cutting-edge process systems engineering theory [25].

Toxicology and Its Effects on the Environment

The physicochemical properties of manufactured nanomaterials affect how they behave in the environment and how they affect living things. The size, surface area, shape, porosity, solubility, surface charge, surface chemistry, and aggregation state of a substance affect how it interacts with biological systems and how it gets into the body. The precise impacts of various nanoforms are inadequately comprehended, as are the mechanisms for the transformation, transport, and release of nanomaterials. Fullerenes, quantum dots, and carbon nanotubes that dissolve in water are more harmful to the environment than their bulk forms. The level of toxicity depends on the additives and co-formulated materials used. Colloidal nanoparticles often exhibit reduced environmental and health implications compared to fine powders from a life-cycle perspective; nonetheless, improper management during processing and disposal may still result in unintended release [26]. There are many ways to lower risks during design, synthesis, and processing. Placing and formulating products in certain ways also lowers exposure.

INDUSTRIAL SIGNIFICANCE AND EXPANSION

Functional nanomaterials can provide distinctive prospects for transformative innovations in fields, such as energy storage and conversion, catalysis, chemical sensing, and imaging, all of which are significant goals in chemical engineering [27]. As noted in the previous section, Sec. 6, rational nanomaterial design has a lot of potential for a wide range of functions. But before it can be widely used in industry, there needs to be a mechanism to scale it up.

Section 8.1 talks about important things to think about when adding functional nanomaterials to chemical manufacturing processes that are already in place. It also talks about the main problems that need to be solved to make this happen. Section 8.2 then looks at the economic, market, and policy elements that affect how useful every new functional nanomaterial is to the market, as well as the unique incentives and rules that go along with it.

Things to Think About While Integrating Processes and Making Things

Industrial relevance, scale-up, and evaluation of economic viability are critical subjects for examination in nanoscale chemical engineering. A lot of work has gone into making useful, relevant nanomaterials for certain uses (such as energy storage, catalysis, and sensing) and changing the way they are made and tested in the lab so that they may be used in pilot and production settings. A key part of scaling up is being able to safely and efficiently handle nanomaterials and design reactors in a way that makes the process cost-effective by lowering the costs of raw materials, energy, and solvents. Throughout the production process, quality factors that affect efficacy and safety must be carefully controlled. Modelling and simulation are very helpful for figuring out how synthesis conditions, structural properties, composition and morphology, materials interactions, and feature size all work together to improve the performance of nanomaterials and processes.

There are several routes for nanoparticles to get from the raw materials to the finished product. A wide range of intermediate components, such as polymers, surfactants, and lipids, can connect nanoparticles into nanosystems that can carry medications or chemicals. You can define a supply chain by keeping track of the order in which materials are used instead of the physical things themselves. For keeping track of materials, bigger graph-link structures are more important. For instance, self-assembly techniques that use amphiphilic molecules make bigger micelle and liposome nanostructures at the middle stage. The connections between materials can also change based on the parts that are chosen.

Economic and Policy Considerations

For a growing world population to have safe energy and drinking water, advanced materials, methods, and reactors are very important. The focus will be on important materials utilized in sensors for chemicals, gases, and biomolecules, as well as energy storage and conversion devices. Delivering nanomaterials with well-defined designs at a reasonable cost would facilitate the production of high-performance, low-toxicity devices essential for achieving a safe and sustainable energy and environmental future [28]. As a result, a lot of work is being done to make nanomaterials for many different uses. In 5 years, the worldwide nanotechnology market is expected to be worth tens of billions of dollars [29]. Nanotechnology's benefits are not evenly spread over the world; therefore, access to nanomaterials could make the technology gap between industrialized and emerging nations even bigger.

The prices of materials, equipment, and reagents are affected by several things, such as the cost of raw materials, the availability and stability of supply sources, the rules and taxes on storing and moving materials, the energy used to make the desired goods, and taxes, fees, and tariffs. Many funding agencies and governments are aggressively promoting the development and distribution of enhanced structural nanomaterials due to the inability of electrical energy supply to meet increasing demands. Nanomaterials that meet a strict set of design requirements can be used in a wide range of chemical, environmental, and energy applications. Silicon was the main material utilized before nanomaterials, like graphene and carbon nanotubes, came along. Nanomaterials made at the nanoscale could take the place of silicon and free up the drive to make electronics, sensors, and energy storage devices better.

CONCLUSION

To create useful nanomaterials with the right qualities and performance at the nanoscale, a lot of research has recently gone into figuring out and testing basic nanoscale design concepts. Quantum effects and size-dependent characteristics of nanoscale building blocks determine performance at larger scales, facilitating the prediction of top–down reactivity and the formulation of suitable nanoscale syntheses and morphologies. Synthesis and fabrication procedures dictate structure, establishing connections among synthetic methodologies, properties, and intended uses. Atomic-level characterization and analysis reveal how nanostructural features affect functional aspects, guiding design decisions and clarifying performance. Correctly modelling and simulating synthesis and manufacturing processes, kinetics, thermodynamics, and structure-performance connections help with choosing the right nanomaterials from enormous databases and designing materials for specific uses.

Even though there have been some exciting new developments, there are still many basic questions about nanoscale design. To make nanoscale features more useful, we need to understand how they relate to performance indicators in different chemical systems. Further investigation into the role of morphology and the corresponding nanoscale design principles, particularly surface-capping molecules in nanoscale assembly and the formation of nanoscale defects, is of paramount importance. A major problem is in making enough structural, compositional, and functional databases of nanomaterials to make accurate predictions about how they will behave at the nanoscale. The goal of material synthesis is still to combine classical molecular-dynamics models with experimental coupling to fully define selected morphologies and their performance measures. The quest for adjustable dual-functional characteristics and the temporal progression of nanostructured morphology in dispersion systems represents a captivating and pertinent area of research. To help chemical engineers solve problems in society at the nanoscale, it is important to work together to fill in these knowledge gaps.

REFERENCES

1. Smith DK. Synthesis and characterisation of carbon nanotubes, gold nanorods, silica-coated nanocrystals, and binary nanocrystal superlattices. 2009.
2. Ariga K, Abe H, Ji Q, lvov YM. Halloysite and related mesoporous carriers for advanced catalysis and drug delivery. In: Functional Polymer Composites with Nanoclays. 2017. pp. 207–222. doi: 10.1039/9781782626725-00207.
3. Manning JRH, Brambila C, Rishi K, Beaucage G, Davies G-L, Patwardhan SV. Quality-by-design approach to process intensification of bioinspired silica synthesis. ACS Sustainable Chem Eng. 2024;12(12):4900–4911. doi: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.3c07624.
4. Bondi RJ. First-principles atomistic modelling for property prediction in silicon-based materials. 2011.
5. Lee Y. Electronic structure and quantum conductance of nanostructures. PhD thesis. Massachusetts Institute of Technology; 2006.
6. Wen C, Liu Y, Tao F. Bringing together surface science, nanoscience, and catalysis. Pure Appl Chem. 2010;83(1):243–252. doi: 10.1351/PAC-CON-10-11-04.
7. Yu X. Assembly of surface engineered nanoparticles for functional materials. PhD dissertation, University of Massachusetts; 2013. doi: 10.7275/cb61-mm94.
8. Solis EOP. Controlled formation of nanostructures with desired geometries: Robust dynamic paths to robust desired structures. 2009.
9. Ortiz de Zárate D, García-Meca C, Pinilla-Cienfuegos E, Ayúcar JA, Griol A, Bellières L, et al. Green and sustainable manufacture of ultrapure engineered nanomaterials. Nanomaterials (Basel). 2020;10(3):466. doi: 10.3390/nano10030466.
10. Marro N, Suo R, Naden AB, Kay ER. Constitutionally selective dynamic covalent nanoparticle assembly. J Am Chem Soc. 2022;144(31):14310–14321. doi: 10.1021/jacs.2c05446.
11. Marro N, della Sala F, Kay ER. Programmable dynamic covalent nanoparticle building blocks with complementary reactivity. Chem Sci. 2019;11(2):372–383. doi: 10.1039/c9sc04195h.
12. Gerbaud V, Joulia X. Molecular modelling for physical property prediction. In: Puigjaner L, Heyen G, editors. Computer Aided Process and Product Engineering. 2006. doi: 10.1002/9783527619856.ch4.
13. Stampfl KC, Reuter M, Scheffler M. Ab initio atomistic thermodynamics and statistical mechanics of surface properties and functions. In: Handbook of Materials Modeling. 2005. pp. 149–194. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4020-3286-8_10.
14. Valavala PK. Multiscale constitutive modelling of polymer materials. In: ASME International Mechanical Engineering Congress and Exposition. 2008. doi: 10.37099/mtu.dc.etds/417.
15. Kalweit NM, Shapiro E, Asproulis Drikakis D. Mesoscale flow and heat transfer modelling and its application to liquid and gas flows. J Nanophotonics. 2009;3(031960):1–15. doi: 10.1117/1.3269638.
16. Williamson EM, Brutchey RL. Using data-driven learning to predict and control the outcomes of inorganic materials synthesis. Inorg Chem. 2023;62(40):16251–16262. doi: 10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c02697.
17. Moosavi SM, Jablonka KM, Smit B. The role of machine learning in the understanding and design of materials. J Am Chem Soc. 2020;142(48):20273–20287. doi: 10.1021/jacs.0c09105.
18. Kim JK. Novel materials for storing and converting energy in a sustainable way. Materials. 2020;13(11):2475. doi: 10.3390/ma13112475
19. Kim S. Engineering the morphology & interface of nano-sized metal oxides for highly efficient energy devices. In: New Frontiers in Materials Science. 2019. pp. 159–185. doi: 10.1002/9781394314935.ch9.
20. Mowbray DJ, García-Lastra JM, Larraza Arocena I, Rubio Á, Thygesen KS, Jacobsen KW. Computational design of chemical nanosensors: Transition metal doped single-walled carbon nanotubes. Mat Sci. 2011. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.1112.1052.
21. Koen KA. Sub-diffraction limited morphology characterisation in single noble metal nanoparticles and single conjugated polymer chains using optical microscopy techniques. 2017.
22. Mabrouk M, Das DB, Salem ZA, Beherei HH. Nanomaterials for biomedical applications: Production, characterisations, recent trends, and challenges. Molecules. 2021;26(4):1077. doi: 10.3390/molecules26041077.
23. Harish V, Tewari D, Gaur M, Yadav AB, Swaroop S, Bechelany M, et al. Review on nanoparticles and nanostructured materials: Bioimaging, biosensing, drug delivery, tissue engineering, antimicrobial, and agro-food applications. Nanomaterials (Basel). 2022;12(3):457. doi: 10.3390/nano12030457.

24. Silva DF, Melo ALP, Uchôa AFC, Pereira GMA, Alves AEF, Vasconcellos MC, et al. Biomedical approach of nanotechnology and biological risks: A mini-review. Int J Mol Sci. 2023;24(23):16719. doi: 10.3390/ijms242316719.
25. Windsor R, Cinelli M, Coles SR. Comparison of tools for the sustainability assessment of nanomaterials. Curr Opin Green Sustain Chem. 2018;12:69–75. doi: 10.1016/j.cogsc.2018.06.010.
26. Gatoo MA, Naseem S, Arfat MY, Dar AM, et al. Physicochemical properties of nanomaterials: Implications in associated toxic manifestations. Biomed Res Int. 2014;2014:498420. doi: 10.1155/2014/498420.
27. Duan H. Synthesis, integration, and characterisation of functional inorganic nanomaterials. 2009. Available from: https://web.wpi.edu/.
28. Mbah GN. Three-dimensional scaffolds of graphene, carbon nanotubes, and transition-metal oxides for applications in electronics, sensors, and energy storage. Science and Engineering of Materials. 2015.
29. Linkov I, Steevens J, Adlakha-Hutcheon G, Bennett E, Chappell M, Colvin V, et al. Emerging methods and tools for environmental risk assessment, decision-making, and policy for nanomaterials: Summary of NATO Advanced Research Workshop. J Nanopart Res. 2013;11(3):513–527. doi: 10.1007/s11051-008-9514-9.

