International Journal of
Distributed Computing and Technology

ISSN: 2455-7307
Volume 12, Issue 1, 2026
January–June
DOI (Journal): 10.37628/IJDCT

https://journalspub.com/journal/ijdct/

Review IJDCT

AI-Driven Predictive Maintenance in Smart Homes: Enhancing Efficiency and Reliability

Supriya Nagarkar[1,*], Padma Mishra[2]

Abstract

The convergence of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and the Internet of Things (IoT) has transformed smart homes into intelligent, self-regulating environments. Despite these advancements, ensuring the long-term reliability and efficiency of interconnected devices remains a complex challenge due to device heterogeneity, dynamic usage patterns, and continuous data streams. This study investigates AI-driven predictive maintenance (PdM) as a proactive strategy for detecting early signs of equipment degradation in residential settings. The proposed framework employs machine learning and deep learning methods – including Random Forests, Autoencoders, and Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks – to analyze multi-sensor data, detect anomalies, and predict failures before they occur. A simulated smart home environment demonstrated over 90% fault-prediction accuracy, leading to measurable reductions in energy consumption and maintenance costs. Ethical considerations such as data privacy, transparency, and algorithmic fairness are explored. Findings indicate that AI-driven PdM significantly enhances operational continuity, sustainability, and user comfort, paving the way toward autonomous, self-healing smart homes. Future research directions include federated learning, digital twins, edge AI, uncertainty-aware models, and adaptive self-learning systems.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence (AI), data analysis, deep learning (DL), edge computing, energy efficiency, internet of things (IoT), Machine learning (ML), predictive maintenance (PdM), real-time monitoring, smart homes

INTRODUCTION

Background and Context

Smart homes have evolved into cyber-physical ecosystems powered by AI, IoT, edge computing, and real-time data analytics. Devices such as smart thermostats, security cameras, lighting systems, and appliances continuously collect sensor data to enable automated decision-making [1–13].

***Author for Correspondence**
Supriya Nagarkar
E-mail: supriyanagarkar@gmail.com

[1]Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science, Tilak Maharashtra Vidyapeeth (TMV), Pune, Maharashtra, India
[2]Associate Professor, Department of MCA, Thakur Institute of Management Studies, Carrier Development & Research (TIMSCDR), Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

Received Date: December 26, 2025
Accepted Date: January 17, 2026
Published Date: February 02, 2026

Citation: Supriya Nagarkar, Padma Mishra. AI-Driven Predictive Maintenance in Smart Homes: Enhancing Efficiency and Reliability. International Journal of Distributed Computing and Technology. 2026; 12(1): 1–6p.

As households integrate more connected devices, system reliability becomes increasingly difficult to manage. Traditional maintenance approaches – reactive or periodic – struggle to address sudden failures, improper calibration, or inefficiencies caused by wear and tear. Predictive maintenance (PdM), powered by AI, provides a forward-looking alternative by forecasting failures based on historical and streaming data, ultimately reducing downtime and improving system performance [11, 14].

PROBLEM STATEMENT

Residential environments present unique challenges compared to industrial PdM systems. Device heterogeneity, dynamic occupant behavior,

© JournalsPub 2026. All Rights Reserved

non-uniform sensor quality, and evolving device firmware complicate maintenance scheduling. Fault conditions in smart homes often manifest subtly and vary widely across use cases [13]. In addition, centralized cloud-based models struggle with privacy regulations, bandwidth constraints, and latency sensitivities [15–18]. Therefore, scalable, adaptive, and privacy-preserving AI maintenance models are urgently required.

Objectives of the Study

This research aims to:

- Analyze how AI improves predictive maintenance in smart homes.
- Identify suitable AI models for real-time fault detection and prediction.
- Evaluate performance improvements over traditional maintenance strategies.
- Highlight deployment challenges, ethical considerations, and future research areas [4].
- Propose a scalable and privacy-preserving PdM architecture for realistic home environments.

Significance of AI in Predictive Maintenance

AI enables continuous monitoring, adaptive learning, and reliable system optimization. AI-driven PdM can detect subtle anomalies – such as irregular HVAC cycles, abnormal power draw, or sensor drift – long before failures occur [3, 15]. These capabilities support energy-efficient operations, reduce repair costs, and enhance device lifespan. Furthermore, as AI systems evolve, smart homes are poised to become self-healing environments capable of autonomously diagnosing and resolving technical issues.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Overview of Predictive Maintenance

Predictive maintenance integrates sensor fusion, signal processing, probability modeling, and deep learning to estimate Remaining Useful Life (RUL) and detect anomalies [14, 19]. AI-driven PdM typically includes:

- Data acquisition & preprocessing.
- Feature engineering and representation learning.
- Fault classification, anomaly detection, or RUL estimation.
- Maintenance decision-making.

Recent advancements include hybrid architectures (e.g., CNN–LSTM models), attention mechanisms, and ensemble learning approaches [10].

Smart Home Technologies and Relevance to PdM

Modern smart homes rely on low-energy wireless networks (e.g., Zigbee, Z-Wave, Matter), embedded microcontrollers, and multi-modal sensors. Unlike industrial settings, smart homes must handle:

- Unpredictable user behavior.
- Consumer-grade hardware variability.
- Sensitive personal data.
- Lightweight edge devices [13].

These characteristics necessitate lightweight, robust PdM models that operate reliably at the edge [3, 18].

Role of AI Techniques in Predictive Maintenance

- *LSTM & RNN-based models:* Capture temporal dependencies for time-series forecasting and fault progression analysis.
- *Autoencoders:* Learn normal behavior and flag deviations when reconstruction error increases [5, 14].
- *Federated Learning (FL):* Enables collaborative model training across homes without sharing sensitive raw data [1, 6, 17].
- *Tiny ML & Edge AI:* Reduce latency and enhance local data privacy by running inference on-device [3, 9].
- Collectively, these techniques support accurate, secure, and scalable PdM system solutions [12, 7].

Recent Studies

Reis & Serôdio demonstrated an LSTM-AE + Isolation Forest hybrid capable of detecting anomalies in smart homes with 93% accuracy while running efficiently on edge devices [2]. Ahn et al. used federated CNN-BiLSTM models to improve distributed monitoring without violating privacy norms [1]. Uçar emphasized the importance of explainable and trustworthy PdM for wider adoption in residential environments [8].

Research Gaps

- Lack of standardized datasets for home-level PdM research [5].
- Limited model generalization across different brands, device models, and environments [1, 8].
- Constrained computational resources at edge devices [3, 18].
- Insufficient user-centric frameworks addressing consent, transparency, and trust [13].
- Absence of uncertainty-aware models capable of quantifying prediction confidence.

METHODOLOGY

Data Collection

Data were sourced from simulated smart home sensors capturing temperature, vibration, current draw, humidity, and device cycle logs. Synthetic faults – including overheating, bearing wear, actuator malfunction, airflow obstruction, and abnormal power usage – were injected to mimic real scenarios.

AI Techniques

- *Random Forest:* Interpretable, robust classification of normal vs. faulty states [19].
- *LSTM Networks:* Temporal modeling for degradation forecasting and RUL prediction [12].
- *Autoencoders:* Unsupervised anomaly detection with minimal labeled data [14].

Simulation Setup

A virtual smart home simulated HVAC system, washing machines, lighting controllers, refrigerators, and smart plugs. Data was transmitted via MQTT to cloud and edge computing nodes. Additional constraints – such as bandwidth limits, sensor noise, and packet loss – were simulated to mimic real-world variability.

Data Analysis

The pipeline included:

- Noise filtering.
- Normalization and scaling.
- Time-series segmentation.
- Feature extraction (FFT, rolling statistics, spectral entropy).
- Model training (70% data) and evaluation (30%).
- *Performance metrics:* ACC, F1-score, RMSE, MTBF impact, cost-benefit analysis.

AI-DRIVEN PREDICTIVE MAINTENANCE SYSTEM

System Architecture

The architecture consists of:

- *Perception Layer:* Multi-modal sensors capturing environmental and device-level metrics.
- *Connectivity Layer:* Wi-Fi, Zigbee, BLE, and Matter-based communication.
- *Processing Layer:* Hybrid cloud-edge ecosystem executing AI models [18].
- *Application Layer:* Explainable dashboards, automated alerts, and maintenance recommendations.

AI Applications

AI models can detect:

- HVAC compressor inefficiencies.
- Abnormal energy consumption patterns.
- Motor vibrations in washing machines.
- Sensor drift or calibration errors.
- Early appliance degradation [20].

Expanded Case Studies

- *Google Nest:* Uses behavioral and thermal patterns to anticipate HVAC anomalies.
- *Honeywell Home:* Uses ML to optimize energy consumption and detect equipment inefficiencies.
- *Samsung SmartThings:* Employs cloud analytics to recognize degradation patterns across appliances.
- *Bosch Smart Appliances:* Incorporate vibration-based monitoring for self-diagnosis.

Benefits and Challenges

- Reduction in downtime and emergency repairs [11].
- Greater energy efficiency and reduced carbon footprint [20].
- Enhanced lifespan of appliances.
- Improved user comfort and system automation.

Challenges

- Interoperability limitations across brands and protocols.
- Privacy concerns due to behavioral data logging [17].
- Dependence on stable connectivity and power supply.
- Explainability and trust in AI decisions.

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Metrics

Accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, MTBF improvement, cost savings, and latency.

Results

LSTM models achieved 94% accuracy and 0.91 F1-score, significantly outperforming rule-based systems (+25%) [12]. Autoencoder-based anomaly detection showed consistent performance even with limited labeled data.

Traditional vs. AI-Based Maintenance

Reactive maintenance results in unexpected failures, whereas scheduled maintenance may over-service devices. AI-based PdM optimizes intervention timing and reduces waste [19].

Discussion

AI-driven PdM enhances reliability and sustainability but requires careful attention to privacy, generalization, explainability, and deployment complexity [17].

IMPLEMENTATION CHALLENGES AND SOLUTIONS

Technical Challenges

- Heterogeneous sensor formats and hardware compatibility.
- Data drift due to changing user behavior [7].
- Edge computing limitations (memory, CPU).

Ethical and Privacy Challenges

Sensitive occupancy patterns and behavioral signatures require encryption, anonymization, and transparent data policies [13].

Regulatory Considerations

Compliance with GDPR, India's DPDP Act, and emerging smart home cybersecurity standards is essential.

Proposed Solutions

- Federated Learning to avoid centralized data storage [1, 17].
- Blockchain integration for secure, immutable maintenance logs.
- Explainable AI (XAI) to enhance transparency.

- Edge AI to reduce latency and protect user data [3, 9].
- Automatic model retraining (MLOps) to handle concept drift.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Emerging Trends

- TinyML and Edge AI for low-power, local PdM inference [3, 9].
- Digital twins enabling virtual simulation and root-cause analysis [16].
- Generative AI for synthetic fault data creation and rare-event augmentation.
- Self-learning systems that adapt to new patterns autonomously.

Potential Improvements

- Integration of reinforcement learning for optimal maintenance scheduling.
- Development of cross-device, cross-manufacturer universal PdM standards.
- Adoption of multi-agent systems for cooperative home appliance coordination.

Future Research Areas

- Creation of large-scale smart-home PdM datasets.
- Human-centered design for transparency and consent [13].
- Uncertainty-aware AI for safer decision-making.

CONCLUSION

AI-driven predictive maintenance represents a transformative shift in smart home reliability and sustainability. By combining IoT sensor data with advanced ML and DL algorithms, households can anticipate and prevent equipment failures, reduce energy consumption, and enhance device longevity. Although significant challenges persist – particularly regarding privacy, interoperability, and scalable deployment – the integration of federated learning, digital twins, and edge AI offers promising pathways toward autonomous, self-maintaining smart homes. As AI technologies mature, smart homes will increasingly evolve into adaptive, resilient, and intelligent ecosystems designed to support comfort, safety, and sustainability.

REFERENCES

1. Ahn J, Lee Y, Kim N, Park C, Jeong J. Federated learning for predictive maintenance and anomaly detection using time series data distribution shifts in manufacturing processes. Sensors. 2023 Aug 22;23(17):7331.
2. Reis MJ, Serôdio C. Edge AI for real-time anomaly detection in smart homes. Future Internet. 2025 Apr 18;17(4):179.
3. Njor E, Hasanpour MA, Madsen J, Fafoutis X. A holistic review of the TinyML stack for predictive maintenance. IEEE Access. 2024 Dec 9.
4. Mateus BC, Mendes M, Farinha JT, Martins A. Hybrid deep learning for predictive maintenance: LSTM, GRU, CNN, and dense models applied to transformer failure forecasting. Energies. 2025 Oct 27;18(21):5634.
5. Bampoula X, Nikolakis N, Alexopoulos K. Condition monitoring and predictive maintenance of assets in manufacturing using LSTM-autoencoders and transformer encoders. Sensors. 2024 May 18;24(10):3215.
6. Ramadan MN, Ali MA, Jaber H, Alkhedher M. Blockchain-secured IoT–federated learning for industrial air pollution monitoring: A mechanistic approach to exposure prediction and environmental safety. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf. 2025 Jul 15;300:118442.
7. Hu W, Wang X, Tan K, Cai Y. Digital twin-enhanced predictive maintenance for indoor climate: A parallel LSTM-autoencoder failure prediction approach. Energy Build. 2023 Dec 15;301:113738.
8. Zhong D, Xia Z, Zhu Y, Duan J. Overview of predictive maintenance based on digital twin technology. Heliyon. 2023 Apr 1;9(4).

9. Katib I, Albassam E, Sharaf SA, Ragab M. Safeguarding IoT consumer devices: Deep learning with TinyML-driven real-time anomaly detection for predictive maintenance. Ain Shams Eng J. 2025 Feb 1;16(2):103281.
10. Naik N, Surendranath N, Raju SA, Madduri C, Dasari N, Shukla VK, et al. Hybrid deep learning-enabled framework for enhancing security, data integrity, and operational performance in healthcare Internet of Things environments. Sci Rep. 2025 Aug 23;15(1):31039.
11. Ahmad R, Kamaruddin S. An overview of time-based and condition-based maintenance in industrial application. Comput Ind Eng. 2012 Aug 1;63(1):135–49.
12. Hochreiter S, Schmidhuber J. Long short-term memory. Neural Comput. 1997 Nov 15;9(8):1735–80.
13. Lin H, Bergmann NW. IoT privacy and security challenges for smart home environments. Information. 2016 Jul 13;7(3):44.
14. Malhotra P. LSTM-based encoder–Decoder for multi-sensor anomaly detection. arXiv. 2016;arXiv:1607.00148.
15. Zhang W, Yang D, Wang H. Data-driven methods for predictive maintenance of industrial equipment: A survey. IEEE Syst J. 2019 May 6;13(3):2213–27.
16. Fuller A, Fan Z, Day C, Barlow C. Digital twin: Enabling technologies, challenges and open research. IEEE Access. 2020 May 28;8:108952–71.
17. Lai F, Dai Y, Singapuram S, Liu J, Zhu X, Madhyastha H, et al. FedScale: Benchmarking model and system performance of federated learning at scale. In: Proc Int Conf Mach Learn. 2022 Jun 28;11814–27.
18. Shi W, Cao J, Zhang Q, Li Y, Xu L. Edge computing: Vision and challenges. IEEE Internet Things J. 2016 Jun 9;3(5):637–46.
19. Rigaki M, Garcia S. A survey of privacy attacks in machine learning. ACM Comput Surv. 2023 Nov 10;56(4):1–34.
20. Palensky P, Dietrich D. Demand side management: Demand response, intelligent energy systems, and smart loads. IEEE Trans Ind Inform. 2011 Jun 27;7(3):381–8.